

# ON LOCAL AND BOUNDARY BEHAVIOR OF MAPPINGS IN METRIC SPACES

E. SEVOST'YANOV

January 6, 2016

## Abstract

Open discrete mappings with a modulus condition in metric spaces are considered. Some results related to local behavior of mappings as well as theorems about continuous extension to a boundary are proved.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 30L10; Secondary 30C65

## 1 Introduction

The paper is devoted to the study of quasiregular mappings and their natural generalizations investigated long time, see e.g. [AC], [Cr<sub>1</sub>]–[Cr<sub>2</sub>], [Gol<sub>1</sub>]–[Gol<sub>2</sub>], [GRSY], [IM], [MRSY], [MRV<sub>1</sub>]–[MRV<sub>3</sub>], [Re], [Ri], [Vu] and further references therein. We also refer to work of Novosibirsk mathematical school, see [Vo<sub>1</sub>]–[UV].

As known, boundary and local behavior of quasiregular mappings in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are the main subjects of investigation in many works, see [Ge], [Na], [MRV<sub>2</sub>], [Ri], [Va<sub>1</sub>], [Va<sub>2</sub>] etc. It should also be noted a large number of works by mapping with finite distortion in this context, see e.g. [Cr<sub>1</sub>]–[Cr<sub>2</sub>], [Gol<sub>1</sub>]–[Gol<sub>2</sub>], [GRSY], [IM], [HK], [MRSY] and [Ra]. Besides that, we refer to works, where mappings obeying modular inequalities are studied, see [IR<sub>1</sub>]–[IR<sub>2</sub>], [RS], [Sm] and [Sev<sub>1</sub>]–[Sev<sub>3</sub>]. Mappings mentioned above are called  $Q$ -mappings, and were introduced by O. Martio together with V. Ryazanov, U. Srebro and E. Yakubov, see [MRSY].

Now we return to [Sev<sub>1</sub>]–[Sev<sub>3</sub>]. Local behavior of mappings satisfying modular inequalities is studied in [Sev<sub>1</sub>]. In particular, we have proved here that a family of mappings mentioned above is equicontinuous provided that characteristic of quasiconformality  $Q(x)$  has a finite mean oscillation at the corresponding point. In [Sev<sub>2</sub>], we have proved that sets of zero modulus with weight  $Q$  (in particular, isolated singularities) are removable for discrete open  $Q$ -mappings if the function  $Q(x)$  has finite mean oscillation or a logarithmic

singularity of order not exceeding  $n - 1$  on the corresponding set. The problem of extension of mappings  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with modular condition to the boundary of a domain  $D$  has been investigated in [Sev<sub>3</sub>]. Under certain conditions imposed on a measurable function  $Q(x)$  and the boundaries of the domains  $D$  and  $D' = f(D)$  we show that an open discrete mapping  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with quasiconformality characteristic  $Q(x)$  can be extended to the boundary  $\partial D$  by continuity.

Now we continue studying mappings satisfying modular conditions. In the present paper we show that some results from [Sev<sub>1</sub>]–[Sev<sub>3</sub>] holds not only in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , but in metric spaces, also. Here we assume that mapping  $f$  is not injective, as rule, however,  $f$  is open and discrete. In addition, we need require the existence of maximal liftings of curves under mapping  $f$ . Note that the openness and discreteness of  $f$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  implies the existence of maximal liftings of curves (see [Ri, Ch. 3.II]).

## 2 On equicontinuity of homeomorphisms between metric spaces

Let us give some definitions. Recall, for a given continuous path  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow X$  in a metric space  $(X, d)$ , that its length is the supremum of the sums

$$\sum_{i=1}^k d(\gamma(t_i), \gamma(t_{i-1}))$$

over all partitions  $a = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_k = b$  of the interval  $[a, b]$ . The path  $\gamma$  is called *rectifiable* if its length is finite.

Given a family of paths  $\Gamma$  in  $X$ , a Borel function  $\varrho : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called *admissible* for  $\Gamma$ , abbr.  $\varrho \in \text{adm } \Gamma$ , if

$$\int_{\gamma} \varrho ds \geq 1 \quad (2.1)$$

for all (locally rectifiable)  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Everywhere further, for any sets  $E, F$ , and  $G$  in  $X$ , we denote by  $\Gamma(E, F, G)$  the family of all continuous curves  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  such that  $\gamma(0) \in E$ ,  $\gamma(1) \in F$ , and  $\gamma(t) \in G$  for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ . For  $x_0 \in X$  and  $r > 0$ , the ball  $\{x \in X : d(x, x_0) < r\}$  is denoted by  $B(x_0, r)$ . Everywhere further  $(X, d, \mu)$  and  $(X', d', \mu')$  are metric spaces with metrics  $d$  and  $d'$  and locally finite Borel measures  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$ , correspondingly.

An open set any two points of which can be connected by a curve is called a domain in  $X$ . The modulus of a family of curves  $\Gamma$  in a domain  $G$  of finite Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha \geq 2$  from  $X$  is defined by the equality

$$M_{\alpha}(\Gamma) = \inf_{\varrho \in \text{adm } \Gamma} \int_G \varrho^{\alpha}(x) d\mu(x). \quad (2.2)$$

In the case of the path family  $\Gamma' = f(\Gamma)$  we take the Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha'$  of the domain  $G'$ .

A family of paths  $\Gamma_1$  in  $X$  is said to be *minorized* by a family of paths  $\Gamma_2$  in  $X$ , abbr.  $\Gamma_1 > \Gamma_2$ , if, for every path  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_1$ , there is a path  $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_2$  such that  $\gamma_2$  is a restriction of  $\gamma_1$ . In this case

$$\Gamma_1 > \Gamma_2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad M_\alpha(\Gamma_1) \leq M_\alpha(\Gamma_2) \quad (2.3)$$

(see [Fu, Theorem 1]).

Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be domains with finite Hausdorff dimensions  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha' \geq 2$  in spaces  $(X, d, \mu)$  and  $(X', d', \mu')$ , and let  $Q : G \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a measurable function. Set  $S(x_0, r_i) = \{x \in X : d(x_0, x) = r_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty$ . Following to [MRSY, Ch. 7], we say that a mapping  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  is a ring  $Q$ -mapping at a point  $x_0 \in G$  if the inequality

$$M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma(S_1, S_2, A))) \leq \int_{A \cap G} Q(x) \eta^\alpha(d(x, x_0)) d\mu(x) \quad (2.4)$$

holds for any ring

$$A = A(x_0, r_1, r_2) = \{x \in X : r_1 < d(x, x_0) < r_2\}, \quad 0 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty, \quad (2.5)$$

and any measurable function  $\eta : (r_1, r_2) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that

$$\int_{r_1}^{r_2} \eta(r) dr \geq 1. \quad (2.6)$$

A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of continuous functions  $f$  defined on some metric space  $(X, d)$  with values in another metric space  $(Y, d')$  is called equicontinuous at a point  $x_0 \in X$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d'(f(x_0), f(x)) < \varepsilon$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and all  $x$  such that  $d(x_0, x) < \delta$ . The family is equicontinuous if it is equicontinuous at each point of  $X$ . Thus, by the well-known Ascoli theorem, normality is equivalent to equicontinuity on compact sets of the mappings in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $G$  be a domain in a space  $(X, d, \mu)$ . Similarly to [IR<sub>1</sub>], we say that a function  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has *finite mean oscillation at a point*  $x_0 \in \overline{G}$ , abbr.  $\varphi \in FMO(x_0)$ , if

$$\overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x_0, \varepsilon))} \int_{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} |\varphi(x) - \overline{\varphi}_\varepsilon| d\mu(x) < \infty \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\overline{\varphi}_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\mu(B(x_0, \varepsilon))} \int_{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} \varphi(x) d\mu(x)$$

is the mean value of the function  $\varphi(x)$  over the set

$$B(x_0, \varepsilon) = \{x \in G : d(x, x_0) < \varepsilon\}$$

with respect to the measure  $\mu$ . Here the condition (2.7) includes the assumption that  $\varphi$  is integrable with respect to the measure  $\mu$  over the set  $B(x_0, \varepsilon)$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Following [He, section 7.22], given a real-valued function  $u$  in a metric space  $X$ , a Borel function  $\rho: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is said to be an *upper gradient* of a function  $u: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  if  $|u(x) - u(y)| \leq \int_{\gamma} \rho |dx|$  for each rectifiable curve  $\gamma$  joining  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ . Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a metric measure space and let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . We say that  $X$  admits a  $(1; p)$ -Poincaré inequality if there is a constant  $C \geq 1$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B |u - u_B| d\mu(x) \leq C \cdot (\text{diam } B) \left( \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B \rho^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p}$$

for all balls  $B$  in  $X$ , for all bounded continuous functions  $u$  on  $B$ , and for all upper gradients  $\rho$  of  $u$ . Metric measure spaces where the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{C} R^n \leq \mu(B(x_0, R)) \leq C R^n$$

hold for a constant  $C \geq 1$ , every  $x_0 \in X$  and all  $R < \text{diam } X$ , are called *Ahlfors  $n$ -regular*. As known, Ahlfors  $n$ -regular spaces have Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha$  (see e.g. [He, p. 61–62]). A domain  $G$  in a topological space  $T$  is called *locally connected at a point*  $x_0 \in \partial G$  if, for every neighborhood  $U$  of the point  $x_0$ , there is its neighborhood  $V \subset U$  such that  $V \cap G$  is connected (see [Ku, I.6, § 49]).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a domain in a locally connected and a locally compact metric space  $(X, d, \mu)$  with a finite Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha \geq 2$ , and let  $(X', d', \mu')$  be an Ahlfors  $\alpha'$ -regular metric space which supports  $(1; \alpha')$ -Poincaré inequality. Let  $B_R \subset X'$  is a fixed ball of a radius  $R$ . Denote  $\mathfrak{R}_{x_0, Q, B_R, \delta}(G)$  a family of ring  $Q$ -homeomorphisms  $f: G \rightarrow B_R \setminus K_f$  at  $x_0 \in G$  with  $\sup_{x, y \in K_f} d'(x, y) \geq \delta > 0$ , where  $K_f \subset B_R$  is some continuum. Then  $\mathfrak{R}_{x_0, Q, B_R, \delta}(G)$  is equicontinuous at  $x_0 \in G$  whenever  $Q \in FMO(x_0)$ .*

The following lemma can be useful under investigations related to equicontinuity of families of mappings.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a domain in a metric space  $(X, d, \mu)$  with a finite Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha \geq 2$ , and let  $(X', d', \mu')$  be a metric space with a finite Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha' \geq 2$ . Let  $f: G \rightarrow X'$  be a ring  $Q$ -mapping at  $x_0 \in G$ , and let  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  be such that  $\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)} \subset G$ . Assume that*

$$\int_{\varepsilon < d(x, x_0) < \varepsilon_0} Q(x) \cdot \psi_\varepsilon^\alpha(d(x, x_0)) d\mu(x) \leq F(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon'_0), \quad (2.8)$$

for some  $\varepsilon'_0 \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$  and some family of nonnegative Lebesgue measurable functions  $\{\psi_\varepsilon(t)\}$ ,  $\psi_\varepsilon: (\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon'_0)$ , where  $r$  de  $F(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$  is some function, and

$$0 < I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) := \int_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0} \psi_\varepsilon(t) dt < \infty \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon'_0). \quad (2.9)$$

Denote  $S_1 = S(x_0, \varepsilon)$ ,  $S_2 = S(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$  and  $A = \{x \in G : \varepsilon < d(x, x_0) < \varepsilon_0\}$ . Then

$$M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma(S_1, S_2, A))) \leq F(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)/I^\alpha(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon'_0). \quad (2.10)$$

*Proof.* Set  $\eta_\varepsilon(t) = \psi_\varepsilon(t)/I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$ ,  $t \in (\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$ . We observe that  $\int_\varepsilon^{\varepsilon_0} \eta_\varepsilon(t) dt = 1$  for  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon'_0)$ . Now, from the definition of ring  $Q$ -mapping at  $x_0$ , and from (2.8), we obtain (2.10).  $\square$

The following statement holds (see [AS, Proposition 4.7]).

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\alpha$ -Ahlfors regular metric measure space that supports  $(1; \alpha)$ -Poincaré inequality for some  $\alpha > 1$ . Let  $E$  and  $F$  be continua contained in a ball  $B(x_0, R)$ . Then*

$$M_\alpha(\Gamma(E, F, X)) \geq \frac{1}{C} \cdot \frac{\min\{\text{diam } E, \text{diam } F\}}{R}$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ .

The following lemma provides the main tool for establishing equicontinuity in the most general situation.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a domain in a locally connected and locally compact metric space  $(X, d, \mu)$  with a finite Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha \geq 2$ , and let  $(X', d', \mu')$  be an Ahlfors  $\alpha'$ -regular metric space which supports  $(1; \alpha')$ -Poincaré inequality. Let  $r_0 > 0$  be such that  $\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)} \subset G$  and  $0 < \varepsilon_0 < r_0$ . Assume that, (2.8) holds for some  $\varepsilon'_0 \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ , and for some family of nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function  $\{\psi_\varepsilon(t)\}$ ,  $\psi_\varepsilon : (\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon'_0)$ , where  $F(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$  is some function for which  $F(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) = o(I^\alpha(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0))$ , and  $I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$  is defined in (2.9).*

*Let  $B_R \subset X'$  be a fixed ball of a radius  $R$ . Denote  $\mathfrak{R}_{x_0, Q, B_R, \delta}(G)$  a family of all ring  $Q$ -homeomorphisms  $f : G \rightarrow B_R \setminus K_f$  at  $x_0 \in G$  with  $\sup_{x, y \in K_f} d'(x, y) \geq \delta > 0$ , where  $K_f \subset B_R$  is a fixed continuum. Now,  $\mathfrak{R}_{Q, x_0, B_R, \delta}(G)$  is equicontinuous at  $x_0$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $x_0 \in G$ ,  $f \in \mathfrak{R}_{x_0, Q, B_R, \delta}(G)$ . Since  $X$  is locally connected and locally compact space, we can find a sequence  $B(x_0, \varepsilon_k)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , such that  $V_{k+1} \subset \overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon_k)} \subset V_k$ , where  $V_k$  are continua in  $G$ . Observe that  $f(V_k)$  are  $K_f$  continua in  $B_R$ , in fact,  $f(V_k)$  is a continuum as continuous image of a continuum (see e.g. [Ku, Theorem 1.III.41 and Theorem 3.I.46]). Now, by Proposition 2.1 we obtain that

$$M_{\alpha'}(K_f, f(V_k), X') \geq \frac{1}{C} \cdot \frac{\min\{\text{diam } K_f, \text{diam } f(V_k)\}}{R} \quad (2.11)$$

at some  $C > 0$ . Note that  $\gamma \in \Gamma(K_f, f(V_k), X')$  does not fully belong to  $f(B(x_0, \varepsilon_0))$  as well as  $X' \setminus f(B(x_0, \varepsilon_0))$ , so there exists  $y_1 \in |\gamma| \cap f(S(x_0, \varepsilon_0))$  (see [Ku, Theorem 1, § 46, section. I]). Let  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X'$  and  $t_1 \in (0, 1)$  be such that  $\gamma(t_1) = y_1$ . Without loss of generalization, we can consider that  $|\gamma|_{[0, t_1]} \in f(B(x_0, \varepsilon_0))$ . Denote  $\gamma_1 := \gamma|_{[0, t_1]}$ , and set  $\alpha_1 = f^{-1}(\gamma_1)$ . Observe that  $|\alpha_1| \in \overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)}$ . Moreover, note that  $\alpha_1$  does not wholly belong to  $\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon_{k-1})}$  as well as to  $X \setminus \overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon_{k-1})}$ . Thus, there exists  $t_2 \in (0, t_1)$  with

$\alpha_1(t_2) \in S(x_0, \varepsilon_{k-1})$  (see [Ku, Theorem 1, § 46, Section. I]). Without loss of generality, we can consider that  $|\alpha_{[t_2, t_1]}| \in X \setminus \overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon_{k-1})}$ . Set  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1|_{[t_2, t_1]}$ . Observe that  $\gamma_2 := f(\alpha_2)$  is a subcurve of  $\gamma$ . From saying above,

$$\Gamma(K_f, f(V_k), X') > \Gamma(f(S(x_0, \varepsilon_{k-1})), f(S(x_0, \varepsilon_0)), f(A)),$$

where  $A = \{x \in X : \varepsilon_{k-1} < d(x, x_0) < \varepsilon_0\}$ , whence by (2.3)

$$M_{\alpha'}(\Gamma(K_f, f(V_k), X')) \leq M_{\alpha'}(\Gamma(f(S(x_0, \varepsilon_{k-1})), f(S(x_0, \varepsilon_0)), f(A))). \quad (2.12)$$

By (2.11) and (2.12), we conclude that

$$M_{\alpha'}(\Gamma(f(S(x_0, \varepsilon_{k-1})), f(S(x_0, \varepsilon_0)), f(A))) \geq \frac{1}{C} \cdot \frac{\min\{\text{diam } K_f, \text{diam } f(V_k)\}}{R}. \quad (2.13)$$

From other hand, by Lemma 2.1 and by  $F(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) = o(I^\alpha(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0))$ , it follows that

$$M_{\alpha'}(\Gamma(f(S(x_0, \varepsilon_{k-1})), f(S(x_0, \varepsilon_0)), f(A))) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, for every  $\sigma > 0$  there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N} = k_0(\sigma)$  such that

$$M_{\alpha'}(\Gamma(f(S(x_0, \varepsilon_{k-1})), f(S(x_0, \varepsilon_0)), f(A))) < \sigma$$

for every  $k \geq k_0$ . Now, by (2.13), it follows that

$$\min\{\text{diam } K_f, \text{diam } f(V_k)\} < \sigma \quad (2.14)$$

for  $k \geq k_0$ . Since  $\text{diam } K_f \geq \delta > 0$  for every  $f$ , we obtain that

$$\min\{\text{diam } K_f, \text{diam } f(V_k)\} = \text{diam } f(V_k)$$

for every  $k \geq k_1(\sigma)$ . Now, by (2.14)

$$\text{diam } f(V_k) < \sigma \quad (2.15)$$

for every  $k \geq k_1(\sigma)$ . Since  $V_{k+1} \subset \overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon_k)} \subset V_k$ , the inequality (2.15) holds in  $\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon_k)}$  as  $k \geq k_1(\sigma)$ . Set  $\varepsilon(\sigma) := \varepsilon_{k_1}$ . Finally, given  $\sigma > 0$  there exists  $\varepsilon(\sigma) > 0$  such that  $d'(f(x), f(x_0)) < \sigma$  as  $d(x, x_0) < \varepsilon(\sigma)$ . So,  $\mathfrak{R}_{Q, x_0, B_R, \delta}(G)$  is equicontinuous at  $x_0$ .  $\square$

The following statement can be found in [RS, Lemma 4.1].

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a domain Ahlfors  $\alpha$ -regular metric space  $(X, d, \mu)$  at  $\alpha \geq 2$ . Assume that  $x_0 \in \overline{G}$  and  $Q : G \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  belongs to  $FMO(x_0)$ . If*

$$\mu(G \cap B(x_0, 2r)) \leq \gamma \cdot \log^{\alpha-2} \frac{1}{r} \cdot \mu(G \cap B(x_0, r)) \quad (2.16)$$

for some  $r_0 > 0$  and every  $r \in (0, r_0)$ , then  $Q$  satisfies (2.8), where  $G(\varepsilon) := F(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)/I^n(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$  obeying :  $G(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\psi_\varepsilon(t) \equiv \psi(t) := \frac{1}{t \log \frac{1}{t}}$ .

*Proof of the Theorem 2.1 follows from Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.2.  $\square$*

Taking into account [RS, Corollary 4.1], by Lemma 2.2, we obtain the following.

**Corollary 2.1.** *A conclusion of Theorem 2.1 holds, if instead of condition  $Q \in FMO(x_0)$  we require that*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x_0, \varepsilon))} \int_{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} Q(x) d\mu(x) < \infty.$$

### 3 Equicontinuity of open discrete mappings

In this section we prove a result similar to Theorem 2.1, where instead of homeomorphisms are considered open discrete mappings. However, in this case we have to require the following additional condition: the mapping should have a maximal lifting relative to an arbitrary curve. To give a definition.

Let  $D \subset X$ ,  $f : D \rightarrow X'$  be a discrete open mapping,  $\beta : [a, b) \rightarrow X'$  be a curve, and  $x \in f^{-1}(\beta(a))$ . A curve  $\alpha : [a, c) \rightarrow D$  is called a *maximal  $f$ -lifting* of  $\beta$  starting at  $x$ , if (1)  $\alpha(a) = x$ ; (2)  $f \circ \alpha = \beta|_{[a, c)}$ ; (3) for  $c < c' \leq b$ , there is no curves  $\alpha' : [a, c') \rightarrow D$  such that  $\alpha = \alpha'|_{[a, c)}$  and  $f \circ \alpha' = \beta|_{[a, c')}$ . In the case  $X = X' = \mathbb{R}^n$ , the assumption on  $f$  yields that every curve  $\beta$  with  $x \in f^{-1}(\beta(a))$  has a maximal  $f$ -lifting starting at  $x$  (see [Ri, Corollary II.3.3], [MRV<sub>3</sub>, Lemma 3.12]).

Consider the condition

**A :** for all  $\beta : [a, b) \rightarrow X'$  and  $x \in f^{-1}(\beta(a))$ , a mapping  $f$  has a maximal  $f$ -lifting starting at  $x$ .

Given  $x_0 \in D$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ , let  $A = A(x_0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$  be defined in (2.5), let  $S_i = S(x_0, r_i)$  be sphere centered at  $x_0$  of a radius  $r_i$ , and let  $Q : D \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a measurable function. The following lemma holds.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a domain in a metric space  $(X, d, \mu)$  with a finite Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha \geq 2$ , and let  $(X', d', \mu')$  be a metric space which has a finite Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha' \geq 2$ . Let  $f : G \rightarrow X'$  be a ring  $Q$ -mapping at  $x_0 \in G$ , and let  $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \text{dist}(x_0, \partial D)$  be such that  $\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)}$  is compactum in  $D$ .*

Assume that

$$\int_{\varepsilon < d(x, x_0) < \varepsilon_0} Q(x) \cdot \psi_\varepsilon^\alpha(d(x, x_0)) d\mu(x) \leq F(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon'_0) \quad (3.1)$$

holds for some  $\varepsilon'_0 \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ , and some family of nonnegative Lebesgue measurable functions  $\{\psi_\varepsilon(t)\}$ ,  $\psi_\varepsilon : (\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon'_0)$ , where  $F(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$  is some function, and (2.9) holds. If  $f$  satisfies the condition **A**, then

$$M_{\alpha'}(\Gamma(f(\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)}), \partial f(B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)), X')) \leq F(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)/I^\alpha(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon'_0). \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof.* We can assume that  $\Gamma := \Gamma(f(\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)}), \partial f(B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)), X') \neq \emptyset$ .

Now  $\partial f(B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\Gamma^*$  be a family of maximal  $f$ -liftings of  $\Gamma$  started at  $\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)}$ . Given a curve  $\beta : [0, 1) \rightarrow X'$ ,  $\beta \in \Gamma$ , we show that it's maximal lifting  $\alpha : [0, c) \rightarrow X$  satisfies the condition:  $d(\alpha(t), S(x_0, \varepsilon_0)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow c - 0$ .

Assume the contrary, i.e., there exists  $\beta: [a, b) \rightarrow X'$  from  $\Gamma$  for which it's maximal lifting  $\alpha: [a, c) \rightarrow B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$  satisfies the condition  $d(|\alpha|, \partial B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)) = \delta_0 > 0$ . Consider

$$G = \left\{ x \in X : x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(t_k) \right\}, \quad t_k \in [a, c), \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = c.$$

Note that  $c \neq b$ . In fact, assume that  $c = b$ , then  $|\beta| = f(|\alpha|)$  is compactum in  $B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$ , and we obtain a contradiction.

Now, let  $c \neq b$ . Letting to subsequences, if it is need, we can restrict us by monotone sequences  $t_k$ . For  $x \in G$ , by continuity of  $f$ ,  $f(\alpha(t_k)) \rightarrow f(x)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $t_k \in [a, c)$ ,  $t_k \rightarrow c$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . However,  $f(\alpha(t_k)) = \beta(t_k) \rightarrow \beta(c)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,  $f$  is a constant on  $G$  in  $B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$ . From other hand,  $\bar{\alpha}$  is a compact set, because  $\bar{\alpha}$  is a closed subset of the compact space  $\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)}$  (see [Ku, Theorem 2.II.4, §41]). Now, by Cantor condition on the compact  $\bar{\alpha}$ , by monotonicity of  $\alpha([t_k, c))$ ,

$$G = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{\alpha([t_k, c))} \neq \emptyset,$$

see [Ku, 1.II.4, §41]. Now, by [Ku, Theorem 5.II.5, §47],  $\bar{\alpha}$  is connected. By discreteness of  $f$ ,  $G$  is a single-point set, and  $\alpha: [a, c) \rightarrow B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$  extends to a closed curve  $\alpha: [a, c] \rightarrow K \subset B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$ , and  $f(\alpha(c)) = \beta(c)$ . By condition **A**, there exists a new maximal lifting  $\alpha'$  of  $\beta|_{[c, b)}$  starting in  $\alpha(c)$ . Uniting  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$ , we obtain a new lifting  $\alpha''$  of  $\beta$ , which is defined in  $[a, c')$ ,  $c' \in (c, b)$ , that contradicts to "maximality" of  $\alpha$ . Thus,  $d(\alpha(t), S(x_0, \varepsilon_0)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow c - 0$ .

Observe that  $\Gamma(f(\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)}), \partial f(B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)), X') > f(\Gamma^*)$ , and, consequently, by (2.3)

$$M_{\alpha'} \left( \Gamma(f(\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)}), \partial f(B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)), X') \right) \leq M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma^*)). \quad (3.3)$$

Consider

$$S_{\varepsilon} = S(x_0, \varepsilon), \quad S_{\varepsilon_0} = S(x_0, \varepsilon_0),$$

where  $\varepsilon_0$  is from conditions of the lemma, and  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ . Since every curve  $\alpha \in \Gamma^*$  satisfies the condition  $d(\alpha(t), S(x_0, \varepsilon_0)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow c - 0$ , we obtain that  $\Gamma(S_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon_0 - \delta}, A(x_0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_0 - \delta)) < \Gamma^*$  at sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$  and, consequently,  $f(\Gamma(S_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon_0 - \delta}, A(x_0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_0 - \delta))) < f(\Gamma^*)$ .

Now

$$M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma^*)) \leq M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma(S_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon_0 - \delta}, A(x_0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_0 - \delta))))). \quad (3.4)$$

By (3.3) and (3.4),

$$M_{\alpha'}(\Gamma(f(\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)}), \partial f(B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)), X')) \leq M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma(S_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon_0 - \delta}, A(x_0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_0 - \delta))))). \quad (3.5)$$

Let  $\eta(t)$  be an arbitrary nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function with the condition  $\int_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0} \eta(t) dt = 1$ . Consider the family of function  $\eta_{\delta}(t) = \frac{\eta(t)}{\int_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0 - \delta} \eta(t) dt}$ . (Since  $\int_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0} \eta(t) dt = 1$ , we can

choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\int_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0 - \delta} \eta(t) dt > 0$ ). Since  $\int_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0 - \delta} \eta_{\delta}(t) dt = 1$ ,

$$M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma(S_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon_0 - \delta}, A(x_0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_0 - \delta)))) \leq$$



$$\leq \frac{1}{\left( \int_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0 - \delta} \eta(t) dt \right)^{\alpha}} \int_{\varepsilon < d(x, x_0) < \varepsilon_0} Q(x) \cdot \eta^{\alpha}(d(x, x_0)) d\mu(x). \quad (3.6)$$

Letting to the limit as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , by (3.5), we obtain that

$$M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma(S_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon_0}, A(x_0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)))) \leq \int_{\varepsilon < d(x, x_0) < \varepsilon_0} Q(x) \cdot \eta^{\alpha}(d(x, x_0)) d\mu(x)$$

for every nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function  $\eta(t)$  with  $\int_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0} \eta(t) dt = 1$ . The desired conclusion follows now from the lemma 2.1.  $\square$

Denote  $\mathfrak{L}_{x_0, Q, B_R, \delta, \mathbf{A}}(D)$  a family of all open discrete ring  $Q$ -mappings  $f: D \rightarrow B_R \setminus K_f$  at  $x_0 \in D$  with  $\mathbf{A}$ -condition, where  $B_R \subset X'$  is some fixed ball of a radius  $R$ , and  $K_f$  is some nondegenerate continuum in  $B_R$  with  $\sup_{x, y \in K_f} d'(x, y) \geq \delta > 0$ . A following statement is a main tool for a proof of equicontinuity result in a general situation.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $D$  be a domain in a locally compact and locally connected metric space  $(X, d, \mu)$  with a finite Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha \geq 2$ , and let  $(X', d', \mu')$  be an Ahlfors  $\alpha'$ -regular metric space which supports  $(1; \alpha')$ -Poincaré inequality.*

*Assume also that, (3.1) holds for some  $\varepsilon'_0 \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$  and some family of nonnegative Lebesgue measurable functions  $\{\psi_{\varepsilon}(t)\}$ ,  $\psi_{\varepsilon}: (\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon'_0)$ , where  $F(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$  satisfies the condition  $F(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) = o(I^n(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0))$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and  $I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$  is defined by (2.9).*

*Now,  $\mathfrak{L}_{x_0, Q, B_R, \delta, \mathbf{A}}(D)$  is equicontinuous at  $x_0$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{x_0, Q, B_R, \delta, \mathbf{A}}(D)$ . Set  $A := B(x_0, \varepsilon_0) \subset D$ . Since  $X$  is locally connected and locally compact space, we can find a sequence  $B(x_0, \varepsilon_k)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , such that  $V_{k+1} \subset \overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon_k)} \subset V_k$ , where  $V_k$  are continua in  $G$ . Observe that  $f(V_k)$  are  $K_f$  continua in  $B_R$ , in fact,  $f(V_k)$  is a continuum as continuous image of a continuum (see e.g. [Ku, Theorem 1.III.41 and Theorem 3.I.46]).

Note that  $\Gamma(K_f, f(V_k), X') > \Gamma(f(V_k), \partial f(A), X')$  (see [Ku, Theorem 1.I.5, § 46]), so, by (2.3)

$$M_{\alpha'}(\Gamma(f(V_k), \partial f(A), X')) \geq M_{\alpha'}(\Gamma(K_f, f(V_k), X')). \quad (3.7)$$

By Proposition 2.1

$$M_{\alpha'}(\Gamma(K_f, f(V_k), X')) \geq \frac{1}{C_1} \cdot \frac{\min\{\text{diam } f(V_k), \text{diam } K_f\}}{R}. \quad (3.8)$$

By Lemma 3.1,  $M_{\alpha'}(\Gamma(K_f, f(V_k), X')) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and, therefore, by (3.1) and (3.8) we obtain that

$$\min\{\text{diam } f(V_k), \text{diam } K_f\} = \text{diam } f(V_k)$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . By (3.1) and (3.8) it follows that, for every  $\sigma > 0$  there exists  $k_0 = k_0(\sigma)$  such that

$$\text{diam } f(C) \leq \sigma \quad (3.9)$$

for every  $k \geq k_0(\sigma)$ . Since  $V_{k+1} \subset \overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon_k)} \subset V_k$ , the inequality (3.9) holds in  $\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon_k)}$  as  $k \geq k_0(\sigma)$ . Set  $\varepsilon(\sigma) := \varepsilon_{k_0}$ . Finally, given  $\sigma > 0$  there exists  $\varepsilon(\sigma) > 0$  such that  $d'(f(x), f(x_0)) < \sigma$  as  $d(x, x_0) < \varepsilon(\sigma)$  for every  $f \in \mathfrak{L}_{x_0, Q, B_R, \delta, \mathbf{A}}(D)$ . So,  $\mathfrak{L}_{x_0, Q, B_R, \delta, \mathbf{A}}(D)$  is equicontinuous at  $x_0$ .  $\square$

Denote  $\mathfrak{L}_{x_0, Q, B_R, \delta, \mathbf{A}}(D)$  a family of all open discrete ring  $Q$ -mappings  $f: D \rightarrow B_R \setminus K_f$  at  $x_0 \in D$  with  $\mathbf{A}$ -condition, where  $B_R \subset X'$  is some fixed ball of a radius  $R$ , and  $K_f$  is some nondegenerate continuum in  $B_R$  with  $\sup_{x, y \in K_f} d'(x, y) \geq \delta > 0$ . Now, from Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 2.2, we obtain the following statement.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $D$  be a domain in a locally compact and locally connected metric space  $(X, d, \mu)$  with a finite Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha \geq 2$ , and let  $(X', d', \mu')$  be an Ahlfors  $\alpha'$ -regular metric space which supports  $(1; \alpha')$ -Poincaré inequality.*

*If  $Q \in FMO(x_0)$ , then  $\mathfrak{L}_{x_0, Q, B_R, \delta, \mathbf{A}}(D)$  is equicontinuous at  $x_0$ .*

Taking into account [RS, Corollary 4.1], by Lemma 3.2, we obtain the following.

**Corollary 3.1.** *A conclusion of Theorem 3.1 holds, if instead of condition  $Q \in FMO(x_0)$  we require that*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x_0, \varepsilon))} \int_{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} Q(x) d\mu(x) < \infty.$$

## 4 Removability of isolated singularities

A proof of the following lemma can be given by analogy with [RS, Lemma 8.1].

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $D$  be a domain in a metric space  $(X, d, \mu)$  with a finite Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha \geq 2$ , and let  $(X', d', \mu')$  be an Ahlfors  $\alpha'$ -regular metric space which supports  $(1; \alpha')$ -Poincaré inequality. Assume that, there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and a Lebesgue measurable function  $\psi(t): (0, \varepsilon_0) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  with the following property: for every  $\varepsilon_2 \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$  there exists  $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon_2]$ , such that*

$$0 < I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_2) := \int_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_2} \psi(t) dt < \infty \quad (4.1)$$

*for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$ . Suppose also, that*

$$\int_{\varepsilon < d(x, x_0) < \varepsilon_0} Q(x) \cdot \psi^\alpha(d(x, x_0)) dv(x) = o(I^\alpha(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)) \quad (4.2)$$

*holds as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .*

*Let  $\Gamma$  be a family of all curves  $\gamma(t): (0, 1) \rightarrow D \setminus \{x_0\}$  obeying  $\gamma(t_k) \rightarrow x_0$  for some  $t_k \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\gamma(t) \not\equiv x_0$ . Then  $M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma)) = 0$ .*

In particular, (4.1) holds provided that  $\psi \in L^1_{loc}(0, \varepsilon_0)$  satisfies the condition  $\psi(t) > 0$  for almost every  $t \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ .

*Proof.* Note that

$$\Gamma > \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_i, \quad (4.3)$$

where  $\Gamma_i$  is a family of curves  $\alpha_i(t) : (0, 1) \rightarrow D \setminus \{x_0\}$  such that  $\alpha_i(1) \in \{0 < d(x, x_0) = r_i < \varepsilon_0\}$ , and  $r_i$  is some sequence with  $r_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\alpha_i(t_k) \rightarrow x_0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for the same sequence  $t_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Fix  $i \geq 1$ . By (4.1),  $I(\varepsilon, r_i) > 0$  for some  $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, r_i]$  and every  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$ . Now, observe that, for specified  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the function

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} \psi(t)/I(\varepsilon, r_i), & t \in (\varepsilon, r_i), \\ 0, & t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (\varepsilon, r_i) \end{cases}$$

satisfies (2.6) in  $A(x_0, \varepsilon, r_i) = \{x \in X : \varepsilon < d(x, x_0) < r_i\}$ . Since  $f$  is a ring  $Q$ -mapping at  $x_0$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma(S(x_0, \varepsilon), S(x_0, r_i), A(x_0, \varepsilon, r_i)))) &\leq \\ &\leq \int_{A(x_0, \varepsilon, r_i)} Q(x) \cdot \eta^\alpha(d(x, x_0)) d\mu(x) \leq \mathfrak{F}_i(\varepsilon), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where  $\mathfrak{F}_i(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{(I(\varepsilon, r_i))^\alpha} \int_{\varepsilon < d(x, x_0) < r_i} Q(x) \psi^\alpha(d(x, x_0)) d\mu(x)$ . By (4.2),  $\mathfrak{F}_i(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Observe that

$$\Gamma_i > \Gamma(S(x_0, \varepsilon), S(x_0, r_i), A(x_0, \varepsilon, r_i)) \quad (4.5)$$

for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$ . Thus, by (4.4) and (4.5), we obtain that

$$M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma_i)) \leq \mathfrak{F}_i(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.6)$$

for every fixed  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . However, the left-hand side of (4.6) does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ , that implies that  $M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma_i)) = 0$ . Finally, by (4.3) and subadditivity of modulus ([Fu, Theorem 1(b)]), we obtain that  $M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma)) = 0$ .  $\square$

A domain  $D$  is called a *locally linearly connected* at  $x_0 \in \partial D$ , if for every neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  there exists a ball  $B(x_0, r)$  centered at  $x_0$  of some radius  $r$  in  $U$  such that  $B(x_0, r) \cap D$  is linearly connected. The above definition slightly differs from the standard (see [Ku, I.6, § 49]). The following lemma provides the main tool for establishing equicontinuity in the most general situation.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $G := D \setminus \{x_0\}$  be a domain in a locally compact metric space  $(X, d, \mu)$  with a finite Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha \geq 2$ , where  $G$  is locally linearly connected at  $x_0 \in D$ , and let  $(X', d', \mu')$  be an Ahlfors  $\alpha'$ -regular metric space which supports  $(1; \alpha')$ -Poincaré inequality.*

*Assume that, there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and a Lebesgue measurable function  $\psi(t) : (0, \varepsilon_0) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  with the following property: for every  $\varepsilon_2 \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$  there exists  $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon_2]$ , such that (4.1) holds for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$ . Suppose also that, (4.2) holds as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .*

Let  $B_R$  be a fixed ball in  $X'$  such that  $\overline{B_R}$  is compactum, and let  $K$  be a continuum in  $B_R$ . If an open discrete ring  $Q$ -mapping  $f: D \setminus \{x_0\} \rightarrow B_R \setminus K$  at  $x_0$  satisfies **A**-condition, then  $f$  has a continuous extension to  $x_0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $G = D \setminus \{x_0\}$  is locally linearly connected at  $x_0 \in D$ , we can consider that  $B(x_0, \varepsilon_0) \setminus \{x_0\}$  is connected. Assume the contrary, namely that the map has no limit at  $x_0$ . Since  $\overline{B_R}$  is compactum, the limit set  $C(f, x_0)$  is not empty. Thus, there exist two sequences  $x_j$  and  $x'_j$  in  $B(x_0, \varepsilon_0) \setminus \{x_0\}$ ,  $x_j \rightarrow x_0$ ,  $x'_j \rightarrow x_0$ , such that  $d'(f(x_j), f(x'_j)) \geq a > 0$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $r_j = \max\{d(x_j, x_0), d(x'_j, x_0)\}$ . By locally linearly connectedness of  $G$  at  $x_0$ , we can consider that  $\overline{B(x_0, r_j)} \setminus \{x_0\}$  is linearly connected. Now,  $x_j$  and  $x'_j$  can be joined by a closed curve  $C_j$  in  $\overline{B(x_0, r_j)} \setminus \{x_0\}$ .

Set  $\Gamma_{f(E_j)} := \Gamma(f(C_j), K, B_R)$ . By Proposition 2.1,  $\Gamma_{f(E_j)} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\Gamma_j^*$  be the family of all maximal  $f$ -liftings of  $\Gamma_{f(E_j)}$  starting at  $C_j$ , and lying in  $B(x_0, \varepsilon_0) \setminus \{x_0\}$ . Such the family is well-defined because **A** is satisfied.

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we can show that

$$\Gamma_j^* = \Gamma_{E_{j_1}} \cup \Gamma_{E_{j_2}}, \quad (4.7)$$

where  $\Gamma_{E_{j_1}}$  is a family of all curves  $\alpha(t): [a, c) \rightarrow B(x_0, \varepsilon_0) \setminus \{x_0\}$  started at  $C_j$  for which  $\alpha(t_k) \rightarrow x_0$  as  $t_k \rightarrow c - 0$  and some sequence  $t_k \in [a, c)$ , and  $\Gamma_{E_{j_2}}$  is a family of all curves  $\alpha(t): [a, c) \rightarrow B(x_0, \varepsilon_0) \setminus \{x_0\}$  started at  $C_j$  for which  $\text{dist}(\alpha(t_k), \partial B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t_k \rightarrow c - 0$  and some sequence  $t_k \in [a, c)$ .

By (4.7),

$$M_{\alpha'}(\Gamma_{f(E_j)}) \leq M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma_{E_{j_1}})) + M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma_{E_{j_2}})). \quad (4.8)$$

By Lemma 4.1,  $M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma_{E_{j_1}})) = 0$ .

From other hand, we observe that  $\Gamma_{E_{j_2}} > \Gamma(S(x_0, r_j), S(x_0, \varepsilon_0 - \frac{1}{m}), A(x_0, r_j, \varepsilon_0 - \frac{1}{m}))$  for sufficiently large  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $A_j = \{x \in X : r_j < d(x, x_0) < \varepsilon_0 - \frac{1}{m}\}$  and

$$\eta_j(t) = \begin{cases} \psi(t)/I(r_j, \varepsilon_0 - \frac{1}{m}), & t \in (r_j, \varepsilon_0 - \frac{1}{m}), \\ 0, & t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (r_j, \varepsilon_0 - \frac{1}{m}). \end{cases}$$

Now, we have that  $\int_{r_j}^{\varepsilon_0 - \frac{1}{m}} \eta_j(t) dt = \frac{1}{I(r_j, \varepsilon_0 - \frac{1}{m})} \int_{r_j}^{\varepsilon_0 - \frac{1}{m}} \psi(t) dt = 1$ . Now, by definition of the ring  $Q$ -mapping at  $x_0$  and by (4.8), we obtain that

$$M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma_{E_j})) \leq \frac{1}{I(r_j, \varepsilon_0 - \frac{1}{m})^\alpha} \int_{r_j < d(x, x_0) < \varepsilon_0} Q(x) \psi^\alpha(d(x, x_0)) d\mu(x).$$

Letting to the limit at  $m \rightarrow \infty$  here, we obtain that

$$M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma_{E_j})) \leq \mathcal{S}(r_j) := \frac{1}{I(r_j, \varepsilon_0)^\alpha} \int_{r_j < d(x, x_0) < \varepsilon_0} Q(x) \psi^\alpha(d(x, x_0)) d\mu(x).$$

By (4.2),  $\mathcal{S}(r_j) \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , and by (4.8) we obtain that

$$M_{\alpha'}(\Gamma_{f(E_j)}) \rightarrow 0, \quad j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.9)$$

From other hand, by Proposition 2.1, we obtain that

$$M_{\alpha'}(\Gamma_{f(E_j)}) \geq \frac{1}{C} \cdot \frac{\min\{\text{diam } f(C_j), \text{diam } K\}}{R} \geq \delta > 0 \quad (4.10)$$

because  $d'(f(x_j), f(x'_j)) \geq a > 0$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  assumption made above. However, (4.10) contradicts with (4.9). The contradiction obtained above proves the theorem.  $\square$

The following statements can be obtained from Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 2.2.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $G := D \setminus \{x_0\}$  be a domain in a locally compact metric space  $(X, d, \mu)$  with a finite Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha \geq 2$ , where  $G$  is locally linearly connected at  $x_0 \in D$ , and let  $(X', d', \mu')$  be an Ahlfors  $\alpha'$ -regular metric space which supports  $(1; \alpha')$ -Poincare inequality.*

*Let  $B_R$  be a fixed ball in  $X'$  such that  $\overline{B_R}$  is compactum, and let  $K$  be a continuum in  $B_R$ . If an open discrete ring  $Q$ -mapping  $f: D \setminus \{x_0\} \rightarrow B_R \setminus K$  at  $x_0$  satisfies **A**-condition, and  $Q: D \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  has FMO at  $x_0$ , then  $f$  has a continuous extension to  $x_0$ .*

Taking into account [RS, Corollary 4.1], by Lemma 3.2, we obtain the following.

**Corollary 4.1.** *A conclusion of Theorem 4.1 holds, if instead of condition  $Q \in FMO(x_0)$  we require that*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x_0, \varepsilon))} \int_{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} Q(x) d\mu(x) < \infty.$$

The following results complement [RS, Theorem 10.2].

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $G := D \setminus \{x_0\}$  be a domain in a locally compact metric space  $(X, d, \mu)$  with a finite Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha \geq 2$ , where  $G$  is locally linearly connected at  $x_0 \in D$ , and let  $(X', d', \mu')$  be an Ahlfors  $\alpha'$ -regular metric space which supports  $(1; \alpha')$ -Poincare inequality.*

*Let  $B_R$  be a fixed ball in  $X'$  such that  $\overline{B_R}$  is compactum, and let  $K$  be a continuum in  $B_R$ . If  $f: D \setminus \{x_0\} \rightarrow B_R \setminus K$  is a ring  $Q$ -homeomorphism at  $x_0$ , and  $Q: D \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  has FMO at  $x_0$ , or  $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x_0, \varepsilon))} \int_{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} Q(x) d\mu(x) < \infty$ , then  $f$  has a continuous extension to  $x_0$ .*

## 5 Boundary behavior

Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be domains with finite Hausdorff dimensions  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha' \geq 1$  in spaces  $(X, d, \mu)$  and  $(X', d', \mu')$ , and let  $Q: G \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a measurable function. Following to [Sm], we

say that a mapping  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  is a ring  $Q$ -mapping at a point  $x_0 \in \partial G$  if the inequality

$$M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma(C_1, C_0, A))) \leq \int_{A \cap G} Q(x) \eta^\alpha(d(x, x_0)) d\mu(x)$$

holds for any ring

$$A = A(x_0, r_1, r_2) = \{x \in X : r_1 < d(x, x_0) < r_2\}, \quad 0 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty,$$

and any two continua  $C_0 \subset \overline{B(x_0, r_1)}$ ,  $C_1 \subset X \setminus B(x_0, r_2)$ , and any measurable function  $\eta : (r_1, r_2) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that (2.6) holds.

We say that the boundary of the domain  $G$  is *strongly accessible at a point*  $x_0 \in \partial G$ , if, for every neighborhood  $U$  of the point  $x_0$ , there is a compact set  $E \subset G$ , a neighborhood  $V \subset U$  of the point  $x_0$  and a number  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$M_\alpha(\Gamma(E, F, G)) \geq \delta$$

for every continuum  $F$  in  $G$  intersecting  $\partial U$  and  $\partial V$ . We say that the boundary  $\partial G$  is *strongly accessible*, if the corresponding property holds at every point of the boundary. The following lemma holds.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $D$  be a domain in a metric space  $(X, d, \mu)$  with a finite Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha \geq 2$ ,  $\overline{D}$  is a compact, and let  $(X', d', \mu')$  be a metric space with a finite Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha' \geq 2$ . Let  $f : D \rightarrow X'$  be an open discrete ring  $Q$ -mapping at  $b \in \partial D$ ,  $f(D) = D'$ ,  $D$  is locally linearly connected at  $b$ ,  $C(f, \partial D) \subset \partial D'$ , and  $D'$  is strongly accessible at least at one point  $y \in C(f, b)$ . Assume that*

$$0 < I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0} \psi(t) dt < \infty \quad (5.1)$$

for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ , for some  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , and for some nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function  $\psi(t)$ ,  $\psi : (0, \varepsilon_0) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ . Assume that

$$\int_{A(b, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)} Q(x) \cdot \psi^\alpha(d(x, b)) d\mu(x) = o(I^\alpha(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)), \quad (5.2)$$

where  $A := A(b, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$  is define in (2.5). If  $f$  satisfies **A**-condition, then  $C(f, b) = \{y\}$ .

*Proof.* Assume the contrary. Now, there exist two sequences  $x_i, x'_i \in D$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , obeying  $x_i \rightarrow b$ ,  $x'_i \rightarrow b$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $f(x_i) \rightarrow y$ ,  $f(x'_i) \rightarrow y'$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  и  $y' \neq y$ . Observe that  $y$  and  $y' \in \partial D'$ , because  $C(f, \partial D) \subset \partial D'$  by assumption of Lemma. By a definition of strong accessibility of a boundary at  $y \in \partial D'$ , for every neighborhood  $U$  of  $y$ , there exists a compact  $C'_0 \subset D'$ , a neighborhood  $V$  of  $y$ ,  $V \subset U$ , and  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$M_{\alpha'}(\Gamma(C'_0, F, D')) \geq \delta > 0 \quad (5.3)$$

for every compact  $F$ , intersecting  $\partial U$  and  $\partial V$ . By the assumption  $C(f, \partial D) \subset \partial D'$ ,  $C_0 \cap \partial D = \emptyset$  for  $C_0 := f^{-1}(C'_0)$ . Without loss of generalization,  $C_0 \cap \overline{B(b, \varepsilon_0)} = \emptyset$ . Since  $D$  is locally linearly connected at  $b$ , we can join  $x_i$  and  $x'_i$  by a curve  $\gamma_i$ , which lies in  $\overline{B(b, 2^{-i})} \cap D$ . Since  $f(x_i) \in V$  and  $f(x'_i) \in D \setminus \overline{U}$  for sufficiently large  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , by (5.3), there exists  $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$M_{\alpha'}(\Gamma(C'_0, f(\gamma_i), D')) \geq \delta > 0 \quad (5.4)$$

for every  $i \geq i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Given  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i \geq i_0$ , consider a family  $\Gamma'_i$  of maximal  $f$ -liftings  $\alpha_i(t) : [a, c) \rightarrow D$  of  $\Gamma(C'_0, f(\gamma_i), D')$  started at  $\gamma_i$ . (Such a family exists by condition **A**). Since  $C(f, \partial D) \subset \partial D'$ , we conclude that  $\alpha_i(t) \in \Gamma'_i$ ,  $\gamma_i : [a, c) \rightarrow D$ , does not tend to the boundary of  $D$  as  $t \rightarrow c - 0$ . Now  $C(\alpha_i(t), c) \subset D$ . Since  $\overline{D}$  is a compact,  $C(\alpha_i(t), c) \neq \emptyset$ .

Assume that  $\alpha_i(t)$  has no limit at  $t \rightarrow c - 0$ . We show that  $C(\alpha_i(t), c)$  is a continuum in  $D$ . In fact,  $C(\alpha_i(t), c) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{\alpha([t_k, c))}$ , where  $t_k$  is increasing. By Cantor condition on the compact  $\overline{\alpha}$ , by monotonicity of  $\alpha([t_k, c))$ ,

$$G = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{\alpha([t_k, c))} \neq \emptyset,$$

see [Ku, 1.II.4, §41]. Now,  $G$  is connected as an intersection of countable collection of decreasing continua (see [Ku, Theorem 5, §47(II)]).

So,  $C(\alpha_i(t), c)$  is a continuum in  $D$ . By continuity of  $f$ , we obtain that  $f \equiv \text{const}$  on  $C(\alpha_i(t), c)$ , which contradicts with discreteness of  $f$ .

Now,  $\exists \lim_{t \rightarrow c-0} \alpha_i(t) = A_i \in D$ , and  $c = b$ . Now, we have that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow b-0} \alpha_i(t) := A_i$ , and, simultaneously, by continuity of  $f$  in  $D$ ,

$$f(A_i) = \lim_{t \rightarrow b-0} f(\alpha_i(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow b-0} \beta_i(t) = B_i \in C'_0.$$

It follows from the definition of  $C_0$  that  $A_i \in C_0$ . We can immerse  $C_0$  into some continuum  $C_1 \subset D$ , see [Sm, Lemma 1]. We can consider that  $C_1 \cap \overline{B(b, \varepsilon_0)} = \emptyset$  by decreasing of  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ .

Putting  $I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) := \int_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0} \psi(t) dt$  we observe that the function

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} \psi(t)/I(2^{-i}, \varepsilon_0), & t \in (2^{-i}, \varepsilon_0), \\ 0, & t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (2^{-i}, \varepsilon_0), \end{cases}$$

satisfies (2.6) at  $r_1 := 2^{-i}$ ,  $r_2 := \varepsilon_0$ . Now, by (5.1)–(5.2) and definition of the ring  $Q$ -mapping at the boundary point,

$$M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma'_i)) \leq \Delta(i), \quad (5.5)$$

where  $\Delta(i) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . However,  $\Gamma(C'_0, F, D') = f(\Gamma'_i)$ , and by (5.5) we obtain that

$$M_{\alpha'}(\Gamma(C'_0, F, D')) = M_{\alpha'}(f(\Gamma'_i)) \leq \Delta(i) \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.6)$$

as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . However, (5.6) contradicts with (5.4). Lemma is proved.  $\square$

The following statements can be obtained from Lemma 5.1, Proposition 2.2 and [RS, Corollary 4.1].

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $D$  be a domain in a metric space  $(X, d, \mu)$  with locally finite Borel measure  $\mu$  and finite Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha \geq 2$ ,  $\overline{D}$  is a compact, and let  $(X', d', \mu')$  be a metric space with locally finite Borel measure  $\mu'$  and finite Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha' \geq 2$ . Let  $f : D \rightarrow X'$  be an open discrete ring  $Q$ -mapping at  $b \in \partial D$ ,  $f(D) = D'$ ,  $D$  is locally linearly connected at  $b$ ,  $C(f, \partial D) \subset \partial D'$ , and  $D'$  is strongly accessible at least at one point  $y \in C(f, b)$ . Assume that  $Q \in FMO(b)$  and, simultaneously,  $Q$  obeying (2.16) at  $b$ . If  $f$  satisfies **A**-condition, then  $C(f, b) = \{y\}$ .*

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $D$  be a domain in a metric space  $(X, d, \mu)$  with locally finite Borel measure  $\mu$  and finite Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha \geq 2$ ,  $\overline{D}$  is a compact, and let  $(X', d', \mu')$  be a metric space with locally finite Borel measure  $\mu'$  and finite Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha' \geq 2$ . Let  $f : D \rightarrow X'$  be an open discrete ring  $Q$ -mapping at  $b \in \partial D$ ,  $f(D) = D'$ ,  $D$  is locally linearly connected at  $b$ ,  $C(f, \partial D) \subset \partial D'$ , and  $D'$  is strongly accessible at least at one point  $y \in C(f, b)$ . Assume that  $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x_0, \varepsilon))} \int_{B(b, \varepsilon)} Q(x) d\mu(x) < \infty$  and, simultaneously,  $Q$  obeying (2.16) at  $b$ . If  $f$  satisfies **A**-condition, then  $C(f, b) = \{y\}$ .*

## 6 Examples and open problems

**Example 1.** Now, let us to show that, the  $FMO$  condition can not be replaced by a weaker requirement  $Q \in L^p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , in Theorem 4.1 (see [MRSY, Proposition 6.3]). For simplicity, we consider a case  $X = X' = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** *Given  $p > 1$ , there exists  $Q \in L^p(\mathbb{B}^n)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and bounded ring  $Q$ -homeomorphism  $f : \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  at 0, for which  $x_0 = 0$  is essential singularity.*

*Proof.* Set

$$f(x) = \frac{1 + |x|^\alpha}{|x|} \cdot x,$$

where  $\alpha \in (0, n/p(n-1))$ . Without loss of generality, we can consider that  $\alpha < 1$ . Observe that,  $f$  maps  $\mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$  onto  $\{1 < |y| < 2\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $C(0, f) = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Thus,  $x_0 = 0$  is essential singularity.

Now, we show that  $f$  is a ring  $Q$ -homeomorphism at 0 and some  $Q \in L^p(\mathbb{B}^n)$ . Note that,  $f$  is a homeomorphism in  $\mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . Now  $f \in W_{loc}^{1,n}(\mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . Set

$$J(x, f) = \det f'(x), \quad l(f'(x)) = \min_{h \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|f'(x)h|}{|h|} \quad (6.1)$$

and

$$K_I(x, f) = \begin{cases} \frac{|J(x, f)|}{l(f'(x))^n}, & J(x, f) \neq 0, \\ 1, & f'(x) = 0, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (6.2)$$



Then there exist systems of vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  and  $\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_n$ , and nonnegative numbers  $\lambda_1(x_0), \dots, \lambda_n(x_0)$ ,  $\lambda_1(x_0) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(x_0)$ , such that  $f'(x_0)e_i = \lambda_i(x_0)\tilde{e}_i$  (see. [Re, 4.1.I]), and

$$|J(x_0, f)| = \lambda_1(x_0) \dots \lambda_n(x_0), \quad l(f'(x_0)) = \lambda_1(x_0),$$

$$K_I(x_0, f) = \frac{\lambda_1(x_0) \dots \lambda_n(x_0)}{\lambda_1^n(x_0)}.$$

Since  $f$  has a type  $f(x) = \frac{x}{|x|}\rho(|x|)$ , it is not difficult to show that, the "main vectors"  $e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n}$  and  $\tilde{e}_{i_1}, \dots, \tilde{e}_{i_n}$  are  $(n-1)$  linearly independent tangent vectors to  $S(0, r)$  at  $x_0$ , where  $|x_0| = r$ , and one radial vector, which is orthogonal to them. We also can show that, in this case, the corresponding "stretchings", denoted as  $\lambda_\tau(x_0)$  and  $\lambda_r$ , are  $\lambda_\tau(x_0) := \lambda_{i_1}(x_0) = \dots = \lambda_{i_{n-1}}(x_0) = \frac{\rho(r)}{r}$  и  $\lambda_r(x_0) := \lambda_{i_n} = \rho'(r)$ , correspondingly. From other hand, it is known that  $f$  is a ring  $Q$ -homeomorphism at  $x_0 = 0$  under  $Q = K_I(x, f)$  (see [MRSY, Theorem 8.6]).

Given  $e \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , observe that,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial e}(x_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \frac{f(x_0 + te) - f(x_0)}{t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial e}(x_0) = f'(x_0)e$  whenever  $x_0$  is differentiability point of  $f$ . Let  $\lambda_\tau(x_0)$  is a stretching, corresponding to a tangent direction at  $x_0 \in \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $\lambda_r(x_0)$  is a stretching, corresponding to a radial direction at  $x_0$ . Now

$$\lambda_\tau(x_0) = (1 + |x_0|^\alpha)/|x_0|, \quad \lambda_r(x_0) = \alpha|x_0|^{\alpha-1}.$$

Since  $\lambda_\tau(x_0) \geq \lambda_r(x_0)$ , we obtain that  $l(f'(x_0)) = \lambda_r(x_0)$ . By (5.3), we have that

$$Q(x) := K_I(x_0, f) = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^{n-1} \cdot \frac{(1 + |x_0|^\alpha)^{n-1}}{|x_0|^{\alpha(n-1)}}. \quad (6.3)$$

For  $r < 1$ ,

$$Q(x) \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{\alpha(n-1)}}, \quad C := \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{n-1}.$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} (Q(x))^p dm(x) &\leq C^p \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \frac{dm(x)}{|x|^{p\alpha(n-1)}} = \\ &= C^p \int_0^1 \int_{S(0,r)} \frac{d\mathcal{A}}{|x|^{p\alpha(n-1)}} dr = \omega_{n-1} C^p \int_0^1 \frac{dr}{r^{(n-1)(p\alpha-1)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Since  $I := \int_0^1 \frac{dr}{r^\beta}$  is convergent at  $\beta < 1$ , the integral in right-hand side of (6.4) is convergent, because  $\beta := (n-1)(p\alpha-1)$  satisfies  $\beta < 1$  at  $\alpha \in (0, n/p(n-1))$ .

Now,  $Q(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{B}^n)$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.** Now we show that the *FM*O condition can not be replaced by a weaker requirement  $Q \in L^p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , in Theorems 2.1 and 3.1. We consider the case  $X = X' = \mathbb{R}^n$ , also.

Set  $D := \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $D' := B(0, 2) \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Denote  $\mathfrak{A}_Q$  a family of all ring  $Q$ -homeomorphisms  $g : \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  at 0. The following statement holds.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Given  $p \geq 1$ , there exist  $Q : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow [1, \infty]$ ,  $Q(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{B}^n)$  and  $g_m \in \mathfrak{A}_Q$  for which  $g_m$  has a continuous extension to  $x_0 = 0$ , however,  $\{g_m(x)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  is not equicontinuous at  $x_0 = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $p \geq 1$  and  $\alpha \in (0, n/p(n-1))$ ,  $\alpha < 1$ , we define  $g_m : \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  as

$$g_m(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1+|x|^\alpha}{|x|} \cdot x, & 1/m \leq |x| \leq 1, \\ \frac{1+(1/m)^\alpha}{(1/m)} \cdot x, & 0 < |x| < 1/m. \end{cases}$$

Observe that,  $g_m$  maps  $D = \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$  onto  $D' = B(0, 2) \setminus \{0\}$ , and that  $x_0 = 0$  is removable singularity for  $g_m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} g_m(x) = 0$ , and  $g_m$  is a constant as  $|x| \geq 1/m$ . In fact,  $g_m(x) \equiv g(x)$  for  $x : \frac{1}{m} < |x| < 1$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $g(x) = \frac{1+|x|^\alpha}{|x|} \cdot x$ .

Observe  $g_m \in ACL(\mathbb{B}^n)$ . In fact,  $g_m^{(1)}(x) = \frac{1+(1/m)^\alpha}{(1/m)} \cdot x$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , belongs to  $C^1$  in  $B(0, 1/m + \varepsilon)$  at sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . From other hand,  $g_m^{(2)}(x) = \frac{1+|x|^\alpha}{|x|} \cdot x$  are  $C^1$ -mappings in

$$A(1/m - \varepsilon, 1, 0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 1/m - \varepsilon < |x| < 1\}$$

at small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Thus  $g_m$  are lipschitzian in  $\mathbb{B}^n$  and, consequently,  $g_m \in ACL(\mathbb{B}^n)$  (see, e.g., [Va<sub>1</sub>, sect. 5, p. 12]). As above, we obtain

$$K_I(x, g_m) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{1+|x|^\alpha}{\alpha|x|^\alpha} \right)^{n-1}, & 1/m \leq |x| \leq 1, \\ 1, & 0 < |x| < 1/m. \end{cases}$$

Observe that  $K_I(x, g_m) \leq c_m$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and some constant. Now,  $g_m \in W_{loc}^{1,n}(\mathbb{B}^n)$  and  $g_m^{-1} \in W_{loc}^{1,n}(B(0, 2))$ , because  $g_m$  and  $g_m^{-1}$  are quasiconformal (see, e.g., [Va<sub>1</sub>, Corollary 13.3 and Theorem 34.6]). By [MRSY, Theorem 8.6],  $g_m$  are ring  $Q$ -homeomorphisms in  $D = \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$  at  $Q = Q_m(x) := K_I(x, g_m)$ . Moreover,  $g_m$  are  $Q$ -homeomorphisms with  $Q = \left( \frac{1+|x|^\alpha}{\alpha|x|^\alpha} \right)^{n-1}$ . Since  $\alpha p(n-1) < n$ , we have  $Q \in L^p(\mathbb{B}^n)$ , see proof of the theorem 6.1. From another hand, we have that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} |g(x)| = 1, \quad (6.5)$$

and  $g$  maps  $\mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{0\}$  onto  $1 < |y| < 2$ . By (6.5), we obtain that

$$|g_m(x)| = |g(x)| \geq 1 \quad \forall \quad x : |x| \geq 1/m, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

i.e.  $\{g_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  is not equicontinuous at the origin.  $\square$

**Open problem 1.** If  $X = X' = \mathbb{R}^n$ , for all  $\beta : [a, b) \rightarrow X'$  and  $x \in f^{-1}(\beta(a))$ , an open discrete mapping  $f$  has a maximal  $f$ -lifting starting at  $x$ . To describe properties of the metric spaces  $(X, d, \mu)$  and  $(X', d', \mu')$ , for which, for every curve  $\beta : [a, b) \rightarrow X'$  and  $x \in f^{-1}(\beta(a))$ , there exists a maximal  $f$ -lifting starting at  $x$  under every open discrete mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X'$ .

**Open problem 2.** We say that the path connected space  $(X, d, \mu)$  is weakly flat at a point  $x_0 \in X$  if, for every neighborhood  $U$  of the point  $x_0$  and every number  $P > 0$ , there

is a neighborhood  $V \subseteq U$  of  $x_0$  such that  $M_\alpha(\Gamma(E, F, X)) \geq P$  for any continua  $E$  and  $F$  in  $X$  intersecting  $\partial V$  and  $\partial U$ . We say that a space  $(X, d, \mu)$  is weakly flat, if it is weakly flat at every point. *To find relationship between weakly flat spaces and spaces, which are Ahlfors  $\alpha$ -regular and support  $(1; \alpha)$ -Poincare inequality.*

## References

- [AS] T. Adamowicz and N. Shanmugalingam, "Non-conformal Loewner type estimates for modulus of curve families", *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, **35** (2010), 609–626.
- [AC] C. Andreian Cazacu, "On the length-area dilatation", *Complex Var. Theory Appl.*, 50(2005), 765–776.
- [Cr<sub>1</sub>] M. Cristea, "Local homeomorphisms having local  $ACL^n$  inverses", *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, **53**:1 (2008), 77–99.
- [Cr<sub>2</sub>] M. Cristea, "Open discrete mappings having local  $ACL^n$  inverses", *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, **55**:1–3 (2010), 61–90.
- [Ge] F.W. Gehring, "The definitions and exceptional sets for quasiconformal mappings", *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A.*, 281 (1960), 1–28.
- [Gol<sub>1</sub>] A. Golberg, "Homeomorphisms with finite mean dilatations", *Contemporary Math.*, **382** (2005), 177–186.
- [Gol<sub>2</sub>] A. Golberg, "Differential properties of  $(\alpha, Q)$ -homeomorphisms", *Further Progress in Analysis*, *World Scientific Publ.*, (2009), 218–228.
- [Fu] B. Fuglede, "Extremal length and functional completion", *Acta Math.*, 98 (1957), 171–219.
- [GRSY] V. Ya. Gutlyanskii, V. I. Ryazanov, U. Srebro, E. Yakubov, *The Beltrami Equation: A Geometric Approach*. Developments in Mathematics, vol. 26, New York etc.: Springer, 2012.
- [He] J. Heinonen, *Lectures on Analysis on metric spaces*, New York: Springer Science+Business Media, 2001.
- [HK] S. Hencl, P. Koskela, *Lectures on mappings of finite distortion*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2096, Cham: Springer, 2014.
- [HW] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press, 1948.
- [IM] T. Iwaniec and G. Martin, *Geometric Function Theory and Non-Linear Analysis*, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2001.
- [IR<sub>1</sub>] A. Ignat'ev and V. Ryazanov, "Finite mean oscillation in mapping theory" (Russian), *Ukr. Mat. Visn.*, 2 (2005), no. 3, 395–417, translation in *Ukr. Math. Bull.*, 2 (2005), no. 3, 403–424.

- [IR<sub>2</sub>] A. Ignat'ev and V. Ryazanov, "On the theory of the boundary behavior of spatial mappings" (Russian), *Ukr. Mat. Visn.*, 3 (2006), no. 2, 199–211, translation in *Ukr. Math. Bull.*, 3 (2006), no. 2, 189–201.
- [Ku] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, v. 2, New York–London: Academic Press, 1968.
- [MRSY] O. Martio, V. Ryazanov, U. Srebro, E. Yakubov, *Moduli in Modern Mapping Theory*, New York: Springer Science + Business Media, LLC, 2009.
- [MRV<sub>1</sub>] O. Martio, S. Rickman, J. Väisälä, "Definitions for quasiregular mappings", *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I. Math.*, **448** (1969), 1–40.
- [MRV<sub>2</sub>] O. Martio, S. Rickman, J. Väisälä, "Distortion and singularities of quasiregular mappings", *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A1*, 465 (1970), 1–13.
- [MRV<sub>3</sub>] O. Martio, S. Rickman, J. Väisälä, "Topological and metric properties of quasiregular mappings", *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A1.*, 488 (1971), 1–31.
- [Na] R. Näkki, "Boundary behavior of quasiconformal mappings in  $n$ -space", *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A1. Math.*, 484 (1970), 1–50.
- [NP] R. Näkki and B. Palka, "Uniform equicontinuity of quasiconformal mappings", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 37 (1973), no. 2, 427–433.
- [Ra] K. Rajala, "Mappings of finite distortion: removable singularities for locally homeomorphic mappings", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 132 (2004), no. 11, 3251–3258.
- [Re] Yu.G. Reshetnyak, *Space mappings with bounded distortion*, English transl.: Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.
- [Ri] S. Rickman, *Quasiregular mappings*, Berlin etc.: Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [RS] V. Ryazanov, R. Salimov, "Weakly planar spaces and boundaries in the theory of mappings" (Russian), *Ukr. Mat. Visn.*, 4 (2007), no. 2, 199–234, translation in *Ukr. Math. Bull.*, 4 (2007), no. 2, 199–234.
- [Sev<sub>1</sub>] E.A. Sevost'yanov, "Theory of moduli, capacities and normal families of mappings admitting a branching" (in Russian), *Ukr. Mat. Visnyk*, 4 (2007), no. 4, 582–604; translation in *Ukr. Math. Bull.*, 4 (2007), no. 4, 573–593.
- [Sev<sub>2</sub>] E.A. Sevost'yanov, "On the theory of the removal of singularities for mappings with an unbounded characteristic of quasiconformality" (Russian), *Izv. AN Rossii, Ser. Mat.*, 74 (2010), no. 1, 159–174, translation in *Izv. Math.*, 74 (2010), no. 1, 151–165.
- [Sev<sub>3</sub>] E.A. Sevost'yanov, "On boundary behavior of the open discrete mappings with unbounded characteristics" (in Russian), *Ukrainian Math. Zh.*, 64 (2012), no. 6, 855–859, translation in *Ukrainian Math. J.*, 64 (2012), no. 6, 979–984.

- [Sm] E.S. Smolovaya, "Boundary behavior of ring  $Q$ -homeomorphisms in metric spaces", *Ukrainian Math. J.*, 62 (2010), no. 5, 785–793.
- [Va<sub>1</sub>] J. Väisälä, *Lectures on  $n$  – Dimensional Quasiconformal Mappings*, Lecture Notes in Math., 229, Berlin etc.: Springer–Verlag, 1971.
- [Va<sub>2</sub>] J. Väisälä, "Modulus and capacity inequalities for quasiregular mappings", *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A 1 Math.*, 509 (1972), p. 1–14.
- [Vo<sub>1</sub>] S.K. Vodop'yanov, "Closedness of classes of mappings with bounded distortion on Carnot groups" (Russian), *Mat. Tr.*, 5 (2002), no. 2, p. 92–137.
- [Vo<sub>2</sub>] S.K. Vodopyanov, "Foundations of the Theory of Mappings with Bounded Distortion on Carnot Groups, in book "The Interaction of Analysis and Geometry", Contemporary Mathematics, AMS, 424 (2007), p. 303–344.
- [VG] S.K. Vodop'yanov, V.M. Gol'dtshein, *Prostranstva Soboleva i spetsial'nye klassy otobrazhenii* (Russian) [Sobolev spaces and special classes of mappings], Novosibirsk: Novosibirsk. Gos. Univ., 1981.
- [VGR<sub>1</sub>] S.K. Vodop'yanov, V.M. Gol'dshtein, Yu.G. Reshetnyak, "The geometric properties of functions with generalized first derivatives" (Russian), *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 34 (1979), no. 1(205), p. 17–65.
- [VGR<sub>2</sub>] S.K. Vodop'yanov, A.V. Greshnov, "Analytic properties of quasiconformal mappings on Carnot groups", *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 36 (1995), no. 6, p. 1142–1151.
- [UV] S.K. Vodop'yanov, A.D. Ukhlov, "Sobolev spaces and  $(P, Q)$ -quasiconformal mappings of Carnot groups", *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, 39 (1998), no. 4, p. 776–795.
- [Vu] M. Vuorinen, *Conformal Geometry and Quasiregular Mappings*, Lecture Notes in Math., 1319, Berlin etc.: Springer–Verlag, 1988.

### **Evgeny Sevost'yanov**

Zhitomir Ivan Franko State University,

40 Bol'shaya Berdichevskaya Str., 10 008 Zhitomir, UKRAINE

Phone: +38 – (066) – 959 50 34,

Email: esevostyanov2009@mail.ru